arXiv:1604.02187v1 [math.NA] 7 Apr 2016

TWO ROBUST NONCONFORMING H^2-ELEMENTS FOR LINEAR STRAIN GRADIENT ELASTICITY

HONGLIANG LI, PINGBING MING, AND ZHONG-CI SHI

Abstract. We propose two nonconforming finite elements to approximate a boundary value problem arising from strain gradient elasticity, which is a higher-order perturbation of the linearized elastic system. Our elements are H^2-nonconforming while H^1-conforming. We show both elements converges in the energy norm uniformly with respect to the perturbation parameter.

1. Introduction

Strain gradient theory, which introduces the high order strain and microscopic parameter into the strain energy density, is one of the most successful approach to characterize the strong size effect of the heterogeneous materials [19]. The origin of this theory can be traced back to Cosserat brother's celebrated work [12]. Further development is related to Mindlin's work on microstructure in linear elasticity [22, 23]. However, Mindlin's theory is less attractive in practice because it contains too many parameters. Based on Mindlin's work, Aifantis at al [3, 27] proposed a linear strain gradient elastic model with only one microscopic parameter. This simplified strain gradient theory successfully eliminated the strain singularity of the brittle crack tip field [13].

The strain gradient elastic model of Aifantis' is a perturbed elliptic system of fourth order from the view point of mathematics. To discrtize this model by a finite element method, a natural choice is C^1 finite elements such as Argyris triangle [5] and Bell's triangle [7] because this model contains higher order strain. We refer to [31, 32, 26, 2] for works in this direction. Alternative approach such as mixed finite element has been employed to solve this model [4]. A drawback of the conforming finite element method and mixed finite element method is that the number of the degrees of freedom is extremely large and higher-order polynomial has to be used in the basis function, which is more pronounced for three dimensional problems; See e.g., the finite element for three-dimensional strain gradient model proposed in [26] contains 192 degrees of freedom for the local finite element space.

A common approach to avoid such difficult is to use the nonconforming element. For scalar version of such problem, there are a lot of work since the original

Date: April 11, 2016.

contribution [25], and we refer to [10, 15, 29] for an overview. The situation is different for the strain gradient elastic model. The well-posedness of the corresponding boundary value problem hinges on a Korn-like inequality, which will be dubbed as H^2-Korn's inequality. Therefore, a discrete H^2-Korn's inequality has to be satisfied for any reasonable nonconforming finite element approximation. We prove a H^2-Korn's inequality for piecewise vector fields as Brenner's seminal H^1 Korn's inequality [9]. Based on this discrete Korn's inequality, we propose two nonconforming H^2-finite elements, which are H^1-conforming. Both elements satisfy the discrete H^2-Korn's inequality. We prove that both elements converge in energy norm uniformly with respect to the small perturbation parameter. Numerical reports also confirm the theoretic results. Guided by the discrete H^2 Korn's inequality, we construct a three-dimension nonconforming element, which seems a natural extension of the present two-dimensional elements.

It is worth mentioning that Soh and Chen [28] constructed several noncomforming elements for this strain gradient elastic model. Some of them exhibited excellent numerical performance. Their motivation is the so-called C^{0-1} patch test, which is obviously different from us. It is unclear whether their elements are robust with respect to the small perturbation parameter, which may be an interesting topic for further study. As to various numerical methods based on reformulations of the strain gradient elastic model, we refer to [6, 30] and the references therein.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. In the next part, we introduce the linear strain gradient elastic theory and prove the well-posedness of its Dirichlet boundary value problem by establishing a H^2-Korn inequality. A discrete H^2-Korn inequality is proved in Section 3, and two finite elements have been proposed and analyzed in this part. The numerical results can be found in Section 4. In the last section, we propose a three-dimensional analog of the present elements.

Throughout this paper, the generic constant C may differ at different occurrences, while it is independent of the microscopic parameter ι and the mesh size h.

2. The Korn's inequality of strain gradient elasticity

The space $L^2(\Omega)$ of the square-integrable functions defined on a bounded polygon Ω is equipped with the inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Let $H^m(\Omega)$ be the standard Sobolev space [1] and

$$\|v\|_{H^m(\Omega)}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}|v|^2_{H^k(\Omega)}\quad\text{and}\quad |v|^2_{H^k(\Omega)}=\int_\Omega\sum_{|\alpha|=k}|\nabla^\alpha v|^2\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

where $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)$ is a multi-index whose components α_i are nonnegative integers, $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$ and $\nabla^\alpha=\partial^{|\alpha|}/\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}\partial x_2^{\alpha_2}$. We may drop Ω in the Sobolev norm

$\|\cdot\|_{H^m(\Omega)}$ when there is no confusion may occur. The space $H_0^m(\Omega)$ is the closure in $H^m(\Omega)$ of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. In particular,

$$H_0^1(\Omega) := \{\, v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \,\},$$
$$H_0^2(\Omega) := \{\, v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v = 0, \partial_n v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \,\},$$

where $\partial_n u$ is the normal derivative of u. Equally, $\partial_t u$ denotes the tangential derivative of u. The summation convention is used for repeated Latin indices. A comma followed by a subscript, say i, denotes partial differentiation with respect to the spatial variables x_i, i.e., $v_{,i} = \partial v/\partial x_i$.

For any vector-valued function v, its gradient is a matrix-valued function with components $(\nabla v)_{ij} = \partial v_i/\partial x_j$. The symmetric part of a gradient field is also a matrix-valued function defined by

$$\epsilon(v) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla v + [\nabla v]^T).$$

The anti-symmetric part of a gradient field is defined as $\nabla^{\mathrm{a}} v = \nabla v - \epsilon(v)$. The divergence operator applying to a vector field is defined as the trace of ∇v, i.e., $\nabla\cdot v = \operatorname{tr}\nabla v = \partial v_i/\partial x_i$. The Sobolev spaces $[H^m(\Omega)]^2, [H_0^m(\Omega)]^2$ and $[L^2(\Omega)]^2$ of a vector field can be defined in a similar manner as their scalar counterparts, this rule equally applies to their inner products and their norms. For the $m-th$ order tensors A, B, we define the inner product as $A : B = \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_m} A_{i_1} B_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_m} B_{i_m}$.

2.1. **Strain gradient elastic model and $\mathbf{H^2}-$Korn inequality.** The strain gradient elastic model in [3, 27, 13] can be cast into the following variational problem: Find $u \in [H_0^2(\Omega)]^2$ such that

$$a(u, v) = (f, v) \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in [H_0^2(\Omega)]^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$a(u, v) = (\mathbb{C}\epsilon(u), \epsilon(v)) + (\mathbb{D}\nabla\epsilon(u), \nabla\epsilon(v)),$$

and the fourth-order tensors $\mathbb{C}$ and the sixth-order tensor $\mathbb{D}$ are defined as

$$\mathbb{C}_{ijkl} = \lambda\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + 2\mu\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{D}_{ijklmn} = \iota^2\left(\lambda\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}\delta_{mn} + 2\mu\delta_{il}\delta_{jm}\delta_{ln}\right),$$

respectively. Here δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function and λ, μ are the Lamé constants. The third-order tensor $\nabla\epsilon(v)$ is defined as $(\nabla\epsilon(v))_{ijk} = \epsilon_{ij,k}$. Here ι is a microscopic parameter, we assume that $\iota \ll 1$ without loss of generality. We only consider the clamped boundary condition for simplicity, other boundary conditions can be found in [3, 27, 13].

The variational problem (2.1) is well-posed if and only if the bilinear form $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is coercive over $[H_0^2(\Omega)]^2$.

Theorem 2.1. *For any $v \in [H_0^2(\Omega)]^2$, there holds*

$$C(\Omega)\left(\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \iota^2|v|_{H^2}^2\right) \le a(v, v) \le 2(\lambda+\mu)\left(\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \iota^2|v|_{H^2}^2\right), \tag{2.2}$$

where $C(\Omega)$ denpends only on μ and the constant C_p in the following Poincaré *inequality,*

$$\|v\|_{L^2} \leq C_p \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}.$$

The proof of this theorem essentially depends on the first Korn's inequality [17, 18]. For any $v \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2$, there holds

$$2\|\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.3}$$

The proof of this inequality follows from the following identity

$$|\epsilon(v)|^2 - |\nabla^{\mathrm{a}} v|^2 = |\nabla\cdot v|^2 + \nabla\cdot[(v\cdot\nabla)v - v(\nabla\cdot v)]$$

with the usual notation

$$v\cdot\nabla = \sum_{i=1}^{2} v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

Indeed, by the fact $v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, the above identity and divergence theorem imply

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla^{\mathrm{a}} v|^2 = \int_\Omega |\epsilon(v)|^2 - \int_\Omega |\nabla\cdot v|^2 \leq \int_\Omega |\epsilon(v)|^2.$$

This inequality immediately implies the first Korn's inequality (2.3) by using

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 = \int_\Omega |\epsilon(v)|^2 + \int_\Omega |\nabla^{\mathrm{a}} v|^2.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1 By definition, we write

$$a(v,v) = 2\mu\|\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda\|\nabla\cdot v\|_{L^2}^2 + \iota^2\left(2\mu\|\nabla\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda\|\nabla\nabla\cdot v\|_{L^2}^2\right).$$

The upper bound in (2.2) immediately follows by noting

$$\|\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla\cdot v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

For any $v \in [H_0^2(\Omega)]^2$, we have $\nabla v_i \in [H_0^1]^2$ for $i = 1, 2$, we apply the first Korn's inequality (2.3) to the vector field ∇v_i and obtain

$$2\|\epsilon(\nabla v_i)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \|\nabla^2 v_i\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Using the fact that the strain operator ϵ and the gradient operator ∇ commute, we rewrite the above inequality as

$$2\|\nabla\epsilon(v_i)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \|\nabla^2 v_i\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Therefore,

$$a(v,v) \geq \mu\left(|v|_{H^1}^2 + \iota^2|v|_{H^2}^2\right),$$

which together with the *Poincaré* inequality leads to the lower bound in (2.2). □

Proceeding along the same line in [25, §5], we may prove the following regularity results for the solution of Problem (2.1).

Lemma 2.2. *There exists C that may depend on Ω but independent of ι such that*

$$|u|_{H^2} + \iota |u|_{H^3} \leq C\iota^{-1/2}\|f\|_{L^2}, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\|u - u^0\|_{H^1} \leq C\iota^{1/2}\|f\|_{L^2}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $u^0 \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2$ satisfies

$$(\mathbb{C}\epsilon(u^0), \epsilon(v)) = (f, v) \qquad \textit{for all} \quad v \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2. \tag{2.6}$$

3. The nonconforming finite elements

In this part, we introduce two nonconforming finite elements to approximate the variational problem (2.1). Assume that $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}$ is a quasi-uniform and shape-regular family of triangulations of Ω with h the diameter of the element; See [11]. Denote the set of all the edges in $\mathcal{T}_h$ as $\mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h)$. The space of piecewise $[H^m(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h)]^2$ vector fields is defined by

$$[H^m(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h)]^2 := \{\, v \in L^2(\Omega) \mid v|_T \in [H^m(T)]^2, \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h \,\},$$

which is equipped with the norm

$$\|v\|_{H_h^k} := \|v\|_{L^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \|\nabla_h^k v\|_{L^2},$$

where

$$\|\nabla_h^k v\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2(T)}^2$$

with $(\nabla_h^k v)|_T = (\nabla^k v)|_T$. The same rule applies to $\epsilon_h(v)$.

Brenner [9] established a discrete Korn inequality for any piecewise H^1 vector fields with weak linear continuity across the common surface between two adjacent elements, i.e., for any $v \in [H(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h)]^d$ with $d = 2, 3$ satisfying

$$\int_e [\![v]\!] \cdot p \, \mathrm{d}\, s = 0, \quad p \in [P_1(e)]^d, e \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h),$$

where $[P_1(e)]^d$ is the linear vector field over e and $[\![v]\!]$ denotes the jump of v across e. Here e is an edge for $d = 2$ and a face for $d = 3$. There exists a constant C depends on Ω and $\mathcal{T}_h$ but independent of h such that

$$\|v\|_{H_h^1} \leq C\left(\|v\|_{L^2} + \|\epsilon_h(v)\|_{L^2}\right). \tag{3.1}$$

This inequality is fundamental to the well-posedness of the discrete problems arising from nonconforming finite element and discontinuous Galerkin method approximation of several problems such as the linearized elasticity problem and Reissner-Mindlin plate model; See [14], [24] and [16].

Mardal and Winther [21] improved the above inequality by replacing $[P_1(e)]^d$ by its subspace $[P_{1,-}(e)]^d$ given by

$$[P_{1,-}(e)]^d := \{\, v \in [P_1(e)]^d \mid v \cdot t \in \mathrm{RM}(e) \,\},$$

where t is the tangential vector of edge e, and $\mathrm{RM}(e)$ is the infinitesimal rigid motion on e. In fact, Mardal and Winter proved

$$|v|_{H_h^1}^2 \leq C\left(\|\epsilon_h(v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{e\in\mathcal{S}(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h)} h_e^{-1}\|[\![\Pi_e v]\!]\|_{L^2(e)}^2\right), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Pi_e : [L^2(e)]^d \mapsto [P_{1,-}(e)]^d$ is the L^2 projection.

Our result is an H^2 analog of the above discrete Korn's inequality.

Theorem 3.1. *For any $v \in [H^2(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h)]^2$, there exits C that depends on Ω and $\mathcal{T}_h$ but independent of h such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{H_h^2}^2 \leq C\Big(&\|\nabla_h\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\epsilon_h(v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{e\in\mathcal{S}(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h)} h_e^{-1}\|[\![\Pi_e v]\!]\|_{L^2(e)}^2\\ &+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{e\in\mathcal{S}(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h)} h_e^{-1}\|[\![\Pi_e(v_{,i})]\!]\|_{L^2(e)}^2\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The proof follows essentially the same line of Theorem 2.1.

Proof. For any $v \in [H^2(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h)]^2$, it is clear that $v_{,i} \in H^1(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h)$ for $i = 1, 2$. By (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|v|_{H_h^2}^2 &= |v_{,1}|_{H_h^1}^2 + |v_{,2}|_{H_h^1}^2\\ &\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\|\epsilon(v_{,i})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v_{,i}\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{e\in\mathcal{S}(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h)} h_e^{-1}\|[\![\Pi_e(v_{,i})]\!]\|_{L^2(e)}^2\right)\\ &= C\left(\|\nabla_h\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h v\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{e\in\mathcal{S}(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h)} h_e^{-1}\|[\![\Pi_e(v_{,i})]\!]\|_{L^2(e)}^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

By the above inequality and employing (3.2) again, we obtain (3.3). □

Remark 3.2. The extension of the inequality (3.3) to 3D is straightforward.

Our finite elements are motivated by the discrete Korn's inequality (3.3). It seems difficult to construct a finite element that satisfies the weak continuity of both the displacement field and the displacement gradient fields simultaneously. We overcome this difficulty by turning to the H^1-conforming but H^2-nonconforming element. For such element, its continuity of tangential derivatives are automatically satisfied, and we only need to deal with the weak continuity of its normal derivative. The finite element space is defined as

$$V_h := \{\, v \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2 \mid v|_T \in W(T) \text{ for all } T \in \mathcal{T}_h \,\}.$$

We shall specify two local finite element spaces $W(T)$ in the next two parts.

Given V_h, we find $u_h \in V_h$ such that

$$a_h(u_h, v) = (f, v) \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in V_h, \tag{3.4}$$



FIGURE 1. The degrees of freedom of the proposed elements.

where

$$a_h(v,w) := (\mathbb{C}\epsilon(u),\epsilon(v)) + (\mathbb{D}\nabla_h\epsilon(u),\nabla_h\epsilon(v)),$$

where the second term is defined in a piecewise manner as

$$(\mathbb{D}\nabla_h\epsilon(u),\nabla_h\epsilon(v)) := \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_h}\int_T \mathbb{D}\nabla\epsilon(u)\nabla\epsilon(v)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

3.1. **The first nonconforming element.** Define

$$W(T) := [P_2(T)]^2 \oplus bP_2^*(T), \tag{3.5}$$

where $P_2(T)$ is the *quadratic element*, and $b=\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3$ is the cubic bubble function, and $P_2^*(T)\subset[P_2(T)]^2$ is defined by

$$P_2^*(T) = \{\, v\in[P_2(T)]^2 \;\mid\; v\cdot n|_e \in P_1(e) \quad \text{for all} \quad e\in\partial T\,\}.$$

Next lemma gives the degrees of freedom, which is graphically shown in Figure 1, and we prove that the degrees of freedom is $W(T)-$unisolvence.

Lemma 3.3. *The dimension of $W(T)$ is 21. Any $w\in W(T)$ is uniquely determined by the following degrees of freedom:*

1. *The values of w at the corners and edge midpoints;*
2. *The momentums $\int_e(\partial_n w\cdot t)\mathrm{d}\tau$ and $\int_e(\partial_n w\cdot n)\tau^k\mathrm{d}\tau$ for $k=0,1$ and for all $e\in\partial T$.*

Proof. Since $[P_2(T)]^2\cap bP_2^*(T)=\{0\}$ and $\dim P_2^*(T)\geq 9$, we conclude $\dim W(T)\geq 21$. It suffices to show that a function $w\in W(T)$ vanishes if all the degrees of freedom are zeros. Note that $w|_e\in[P_2(e)]^2$, with three roots on edge e, then we must have $w|_{\partial T}=0$. Therefore, we may write $w=bp$ with $p\in P_2^*(T)$. Let e be a fixed edge of T, and denote $b=\lambda_e\lambda_+\lambda_-$ with λ_e the barycentric coordinate functions such that $\lambda_e\equiv 0$ on e, while λ_+ and λ_- the remaining two barycentric

coordinate functions. Furthermore, $(\nabla w)|_e = (p\lambda_+\lambda_-)|_e \nabla\lambda_e$. Note that $\lambda_+\lambda_-\partial_n\lambda_e$ is strictly negative in the interior of e. Therefore, the condition

$$0 = \int_e \partial_n(w\cdot n)\tau^k \mathrm{d}\tau = \int_e \lambda_+\lambda_- \frac{\partial\lambda_e}{\partial n} p\cdot n\tau^k \mathrm{d}\tau$$

implies that for any $e \in \partial T$ and $k = 0, 1$,

$$\int_e p\cdot n\tau^k \mathrm{d}\tau = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Proceeding along the same line, we obtain, for any $e \in \partial T$,

$$\int_e p\cdot t \mathrm{d}\tau = 0, \qquad e \in \partial T. \tag{3.7}$$

Furthermore, using the fact that $p\cdot n \in P_1(e)$ and (3.6), we conclude that $p\cdot n \equiv 0$ over ∂T.

Assume that

$$p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i^{(1)}\lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i^{(1)}\lambda_i\lambda_{i+1}, \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i^{(2)}\lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i^{(2)}\lambda_i\lambda_{i+1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Denote $b_i = y_j - y_k$ and $c_i = x_k - x_j$, where $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1,2,3}$ are the coordinates of the vertexes of T. The unit normal and tangential vectors of the edges are

$$n_i = \frac{1}{|e_i|}(-b_i, -c_i) \quad \text{and} \quad t_i = \frac{1}{|e_i|}(c_i, -b_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Using $(p\cdot n_i)|_{e_i} \equiv 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} b_1\alpha_2^{(1)} + c_1\alpha_2^{(2)} = 0, \\ b_1\alpha_3^{(1)} + c_1\alpha_3^{(2)} = 0, \\ b_1\beta_2^{(1)} + c_1\beta_2^{(2)} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} b_2\alpha_1^{(1)} + c_2\alpha_1^{(2)} = 0, \\ b_2\alpha_3^{(1)} + c_2\alpha_3^{(2)} = 0, \\ b_2\beta_3^{(1)} + c_2\beta_3^{(2)} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} b_3\alpha_1^{(1)} + c_3\alpha_1^{(2)} = 0, \\ b_3\alpha_2^{(1)} + c_3\alpha_2^{(2)} = 0, \\ b_3\beta_1^{(1)} + c_3\beta_1^{(2)} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

A direct calculation gives that for $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\int_{e_i} p\cdot t_i \,\mathrm{d}\tau = \frac{1}{2}\big(c_i\alpha_j^{(1)} - b_i\alpha_j^{(2)}\big) + \frac{1}{2}\big(c_i\alpha_k^{(1)} - b_i\alpha_k^{(2)}\big) + \frac{1}{6}\big(c_i\beta_j^{(1)} - b_i\beta_j^{(2)}\big).$$

Therefore, the identity $\int_{e_i} p \cdot t_i \, \mathrm{d}\tau = 0$ yields

$$\begin{cases} \big(c_1\alpha_2^{(1)} - b_1\alpha_2^{(2)}\big) + \big(c_1\alpha_3^{(1)} - b_1\alpha_3^{(2)}\big) + \dfrac{1}{3}\big(c_1\beta_2^{(1)} - b_1\beta_2^{(2)}\big) = 0, \\ \big(c_2\alpha_1^{(1)} - b_2\alpha_1^{(2)}\big) + \big(c_2\alpha_3^{(1)} - b_2\alpha_3^{(2)}\big) + \dfrac{1}{3}\big(c_2\beta_3^{(1)} - b_2\beta_3^{(2)}\big) = 0, \\ \big(c_3\alpha_1^{(1)} - b_3\alpha_1^{(2)}\big) + \big(c_3\alpha_2^{(1)} - b_3\alpha_2^{(2)}\big) + \dfrac{1}{3}\big(c_3\beta_1^{(1)} - b_3\beta_1^{(2)}\big) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

By $(3.8)_1$, $(3.10)_2$, $(3.8)_2$ and $(3.9)_2$, we obtain

$$\alpha_2^{(1)} = \alpha_2^{(2)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_3^{(1)} = \alpha_3^{(2)} = 0.$$

Substituting the above identities into $(3.11)_1$ and combining $(3.8)_3$, we obtain

$$\beta_2^{(1)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2^{(2)} = 0.$$

Proceeding along the same line, we obtain

$$\alpha_i^{(1)} = \alpha_i^{(2)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i^{(1)} = \beta_i^{(2)} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, $p \equiv 0$, equivalently, $w \equiv 0$. This completes the proof. □

Using the degrees of freedom given in Lemma 3.3, we may define a local interpolation operator $\Pi_T : H^2(T) \mapsto W(T)$. The next lemma shows that this operator locally preserves quadratics.

Lemma 3.4.

$$\Pi_T v = v, \quad v \in [P_2(T)]^2. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. Let $\big(T, W(T), \Sigma(T)\big)$ is finite element 3-tuple, where $\Sigma(T)$ is the degrees of freedom. There holds

$$\Xi(T) = \{d_1^{(l)}, \cdots, d_{12}^{(l)}, d_1^{(m)}, \cdots, d_9^{(m)}\},$$

where $\{d_i^{(l)}\}_{i=1}^{12}$ are the nodal type degrees of freedom, and $\{d_i^{(m)}\}_{i=1}^{9}$ are the momentum type degrees of freedom. The basis functions for $[P_2(T)]^2$ and $bP_2^*(T)$ are denoted by $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{12}$ and $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{9}$, respectively.

Define a new set of basis functions

$$\varphi_i = \phi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{9} d_j^{(m)}(\phi_i)\psi_j, \quad i = 1, \cdots, 12.$$

We claim

$$W(T) = \mathrm{span}\{\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_{12}, \psi_1, \cdots, \psi_9\}. \tag{3.13}$$

Note that $d_i^{(l)}(\psi_j) \equiv 0$ because $\psi_j = 0$ on ∂T. We obtain $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{9}$ are the basis functions of $W(T)$ associated with the degrees of freedom $\{d^{(m)}\}_{j=1}^{9}$. For any φ_i, there holds

$$d_j^{(l)}(\varphi_i) = d_j^{(l)}(\phi_i) - \sum_{k=1}^{9} d_k^{(m)}(\phi_i) d_j^{(l)}(\psi_k) = d_j^{(l)}(\phi_i) = \delta_{ij},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_j^{(m)}(\varphi_i) &= d_j^{(m)}(\phi_i) - \sum_{k=1}^{9} d_k^{(m)}(\phi_i) d_j^{(m)}(\psi_k) \\ &= d_j^{(m)}(\phi_i) - \sum_{k=1}^{9} d_k^{(m)}(\phi_i)\delta_{jk} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This verifies the claim (3.13).

Next, we prove the interpolation operator is locally P_2 invariant. For any $v \in [P_2(T)]^2$, it is clear that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{12} d_i^{(l)}(v)\phi_i.$$

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_T v &= \sum_{i=1}^{12} d_i^{(l)}(v)\varphi_i + \sum_{j=1}^{9} d_j^{(m)}(v)\psi_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{12} d_i^{(l)}(v)\phi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{9}\sum_{i=1}^{12} d_i^{(l)}(v) d_j^{(m)}(\phi_i)\psi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{9} d_j^{(m)}(v)\psi_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{12} d_i^{(l)}(v)\phi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{9}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{12} d_i^{(l)}(v) d_j^{(m)}(\phi_i) - d_j^{(m)}(v)\right)\psi_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{12} d_i^{(l)}(v)\phi_i = v, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identity

$$d_j^{(m)}(v) = d_j^{(m)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{12} d_i^{(l)}(v)\phi_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{12} d_i^{(l)}(v) d_j^{(m)}(\phi_i).$$

This completes the proof. □

The above proof actually provides an efficient way to derive the basis function of this element.

3.2. **The second nonconforming element.** The second element is almost the same with the first one except that P_2^* is replaced by

$$P_3^*(T) = \{\, w \in [P_3(T)]^2 \;\mid\; \nabla\cdot w \in P_0(T), w\cdot n|_e \in P_1(e) \text{ for all } e \in \partial T \,\}.$$

Hence,

$$W(T) = [P_2(T)]^2 \oplus bP_3^*(T). \tag{3.14}$$

Here $P_3^*(T)$ has appeared in [20] to solve Darcy-Stokes flow.

The following lemma gives the degrees of freedom of this element, and we prove it is $W(T)-$unisolvence.

Lemma 3.5. *The dimension of* $W(T)$ *is* 21. *Any* $w \in W(T)$ *is uniquely determined by the following degrees of freedom:*

(1) *The values of* w *at the corners and edge midpoints;*
(2) *The momentums* $\int_e (\partial_n w \cdot t)\mathrm{d}\tau$ *and* $\int_e (\partial_n w \cdot n)\tau^k \mathrm{d}\tau$ *for* $k = 0, 1$ *and for all* $e \in \partial T$.

The proof of this result is slightly different from the direct proof of Lemma 3.3.

Proof. Proceeding along the same line that leads to (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain that for all $e \in \partial T$,

$$\int_e p \cdot n\tau^k \,\mathrm{d}\tau = 0, k = 0, 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_e p \cdot t \,\mathrm{d}\tau = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Using the fact that $(p \cdot n)|_{\partial T} \in P_1$ and the first identity of (3.15), we conclude that $(p \cdot n)|_{\partial T} \equiv 0$, which immediately implies

$$\int_T \nabla \cdot p \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial T} p \cdot n \,\mathrm{d}\tau = 0.$$

Since $\nabla \cdot p \in P_0(T)$, this implies that p is divergence free. Then there exists a polynomial $\phi \in P_4(T)$ such that $p = \operatorname{curl} \phi$. Furthermore, since

$$\partial_t \phi|_{\partial T} = p \cdot n|_{\partial T} = 0,$$

which implies that ϕ is constant along the edge of T. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\phi|_{\partial T} \equiv 0$. Hence, ϕ is of the form $\phi = b\,\varphi$ with $\varphi \in P_1(T)$. By the second identity of (3.15), we obtain

$$\int_e \partial_n \phi \,\mathrm{d}\tau = \int_e p \cdot t \,\mathrm{d}\tau = 0.$$

Note that $\partial_n \phi|_e = \lambda_+ \lambda_- \partial_n \lambda_e \,\varphi|_e$ and the fact that $\lambda_+ \lambda_- \partial_n \lambda_e$ is strictly negative in the interior of the edge e, we conclude that φ has a root at each edge, which together with the fact that $\varphi \in P_1(T)$ yields $\varphi \equiv 0$, or equivalently $w = 0$. This completes the proof. □

Proceeding along the same line that leads to (3.12), we conclude that this nonconforming element also locally preserves quadratics.

Remark 3.6. Both elements are endowed with the same degrees of freedom. The structure of the local finite element spaces for both element are similar. In fact, the bubble functions can be removed by standard static condensation. Therefore, the resulting method has only 12 degrees of freedoms on each element.

For any function $v \in V_h$, we obtain a simplified version of the discrete Korn's inequality (3.3).

Lemma 3.7. *There exists* C *depends on* Ω *and* $\mathcal{T}_h$, *but independent of* h *such that*

$$\|v\|_{H_h^2} \leq C \left(\|\nabla_h \epsilon(v)\|_{L^2} + \|\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2} \right). \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. For any function $v \in V_h$, we conclude that the jump terms in the right-hand side of (3.3) vanish. Indeed, $[\![\Pi_e v]\!] = 0$ for any $e \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h)$ because $v \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2$. It remains to verify

$$[\![\Pi_e(v_{,i})]\!] = 0, \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h) \quad \text{and} \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{3.17}$$

We write, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$v_{,i} = \alpha_i \partial_n v + \beta_i \partial_t v,$$

where α_i and β_i are constants. Hence, it remains to show

$$[\![\Pi_e(\partial_n v)]\!] = 0, \qquad [\![\Pi_e(\partial_t v)]\!] = 0, \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h).$$

Since V_h is H^1- conforming, it is clear that $[\![\Pi_e(\partial_t v)]\!] = 0$.

For any $e \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h)$, it is clear that $\mathrm{RM}(e) = P_0(e)$. For any $w \in [P_{1,-}(e)]^2$, there holds

$$w = w_n n + w_t t, \quad w_n \in P_1(e), w_t \in P_0(e).$$

Hence, $[\![\Pi_e(\partial_n v)]\!] = 0$, if and only if

$$\int_e [\![\partial_n v \cdot n]\!] \tau^k \, \mathrm{d}\tau = 0, k = 0, 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_e [\![\partial_n v \cdot t]\!] \, \mathrm{d}\tau = 0.$$

This is obviously true for $v \in W(T)$ and we prove the claim (3.17). Therefore, for any $v \in V_h$, we obtain

$$\|v\|_{H_h^2} \leq C \left(\|\nabla_h \epsilon(v)\|_{L^2} + \|\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^2} \right).$$

The inequality (3.16) follows by using the Poincaré's inequality and the first Korn's inequality:

$$\|v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_p^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2C_p^2 \|\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

□

We are ready to prove the coercivity of the bilinear form a_h over V_h.

Theorem 3.8. *There exists C that depends on the domain Ω and the shape regularity of the triangulation $\mathcal{T}_h$ such that*

$$a_h(v, v) \geq C(\iota^2 \|v\|_{H_h^2}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2), \qquad \forall v \in V_h. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. For any $v \in V_h$, using (3.16), we obtain, there exists C that is independent of h such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_h(v, v) &\geq 2\mu \left(\iota^2 \|\nabla_h \epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &\geq 2\mu \min(\iota^2, 1/2) \left(\|\nabla_h \epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \mu \|\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\geq C \left(\iota^2 \|v\|_{H_h^2}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.18), where we have used

$$\|v\|_{H^1}^2 = \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq (2C_p^2 + 1) \|\epsilon(v)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

in the last step. □

The following interpolate estimate is a direct conclusion of the quadratics invariance of the local finite element spaces $W(T)$.

Lemma 3.9. *There exists C independent of h such that*

$$\|v - \Pi_T v\|_{H^j(T)} \le Ch^{k-j}|v|_{H^k(T)}, \quad \forall v \in [H^k(T)]^2, j = 1, 2, k = 2, 3. \tag{3.19}$$

A global interpolation operator $I_h : H^k(\Omega) \mapsto V_h$ may be defined by $(I_h)|_T = \Pi_T$.

3.3. **Convergence analysis.** By the standard argument used in nonconforming element, we have error estimate as follows.

Theorem 3.10. *Assume that the weak solution of u of the problem* (2.1) *belongs to $[H_0^2(\Omega)]^2 \cap [H^3(\Omega)]^2$. Let u_h be the solution of* (3.4). *Then there exists C independent of ι and h such that*

$$\|\!|u - u_h|\!\|_{\iota,h} \le \begin{cases} C(h^2 + \iota h)|u|_{H^3}, \\ Ch(|u|_{H^2} + \iota|u|_{H^3}), \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

where $\|\!|v|\!\|_{\iota,h}^2 := a_h(v, v)$ for any $v \in V_h$.

Proof. By the the theorem of Berger, Scott, and Strang [8], we have

$$\|\!|u - u_h|\!\|_{\iota,h} \le \inf_{v\in V_h} \|\!|u - v|\!\|_{\iota,h} + \sup_{w\in V_h} \frac{E_h(u, w)}{\|\!|w|\!\|_{\iota,h}}, \tag{3.21}$$

where $E_h(u, w) = a_h(u, w) - (f, w)$.

By the interpolate estimate (3.19), we obtain

$$\inf_{v\in V_h} \|\!|u - v|\!\|_{\iota,h} \le \|\!|u - I_h u|\!\|_{\iota,h} \le \begin{cases} C(h^2 + \iota h)|u|_{H^3}, \\ Ch(|u|_{H^2} + \iota|u|_{H^3}) \end{cases} \tag{3.22}$$

Next, we focus on the estimate of the consistency error. We write $\kappa_{ijk} = (\nabla\epsilon(u))_{ijk} = \partial_{x_i}\epsilon_{jk}(u)$. The stress and couple stress are defined by $\sigma = \mathbb{C}\epsilon(u)$ and $\tau = \mathbb{D}\nabla\epsilon(u)$, or

$$\sigma_{ij} = \mathbb{C}_{ijkl}\epsilon_{kl}(u) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{ijk} = \mathbb{D}_{ijklmn}\kappa_{lmn}(u).$$

By the symmetry of tensor $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{D}$, there holds

$$\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{ijk} = \tau_{ikj}.$$

By the chain rule and the symmetry of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{D}$, we obtain, on each element T and for any $w \in V_h$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{C}\epsilon(u) : \epsilon(w) + \mathbb{D}\nabla\epsilon(u) : \nabla\epsilon(w) \\ &= \sigma_{jk}\epsilon_{jk}(w) + \tau_{ijk}\kappa_{ijk}(w) = \sigma_{jk}w_{k,j} + \tau_{ijk}w_{k,ij} \\ &= ((\sigma_{jk} - \tau_{ijk,i})w_k)_{,j} - (\sigma_{jk,j} - \tau_{ijk,ij})w_k + (\tau_{ijk}w_{k,j})_{,i}. \end{aligned}$$

By the above equation and the divergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_T \mathbb{C}\epsilon(u):\epsilon(w)+\mathbb{D}\nabla\epsilon(u):\nabla\epsilon(w)\,\mathrm{d}x &= \int_T(\tau_{ijk,ij}-\sigma_{jk,j})w_k\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &+\int_{\partial T} n_j(\sigma_{jk}-\tau_{ijk,i})w_k\,\mathrm{d}\,s+\int_{\partial T} n_i\tau_{ijk}w_{k,j}\,\mathrm{d}\,s.\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

Using the fact $w_{k,j}=n_j\partial_n w_k+t_j\partial_t w_k$ and

$$n_it_j\tau_{ijk}\partial_t w_k=\partial_t(n_it_j\tau_{ijk}w_k)-\partial_t(n_it_j\tau_{ijk})w_k,$$

we obtain

$$\int_{\partial T} n_i\tau_{ijk}w_{k,j}\,\mathrm{d}\,s=\int_{\partial T} n_in_j\tau_{ijk}\partial_n w_k\,\mathrm{d}\,s-\int_{\partial_t}(n_it_j\tau_{ijk})w_k\,\mathrm{d}\,s,\tag{3.24}$$

where we have used the fact that the contour integration of tangential derivative along the close boundary is zero. By (3.23), (3.24) and the continuity of w, we obtain

$$E_h(u,w)=\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_h}\int_{\partial T} n_in_j\tau_{ijk}\partial_n w_k\,\mathrm{d}\tau=\sum_{e\in\mathcal{S}(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h)}\int_e n_in_j\tau_{ijk}[\![\partial_n w_k]\!]\,\mathrm{d}\tau,$$

where $\tau_{ijk}=\iota^2\sigma_{jk,i}$.

By

$$\int_e[\![\partial_n w\cdot n]\!]\,\mathrm{d}\tau=0\quad\text{and}\quad\int_e[\![\partial_n w\cdot t]\!]\,\mathrm{d}\tau=0,$$

we obtain, for $k=1,2$,

$$\int_e[\![\partial_n w_k]\!]\,\mathrm{d}\tau=0.$$

Employing the standard trace inequality and scaling argument, we obtain

$$|E_h(u,w)|\le Ch|\tau|_{H^1}|\partial_n w|_{H_h^1}\le c\iota^2 h|u|_{H^3}|w|_{H_h^2}.$$

Combining all the above estimates, we obtain (3.20). This completes the proof. □

Combing the error estimate (3.20) and the regularity results Lemma 2.2, proceeding along the same line of [25, Lemma 5.2], we could obtain the following $\iota-$independent error estimate

$$|\!|\!|u-u_h|\!|\!|_{\iota,h}\le Ch^{1/2}\|f\|_{L^2}.\tag{3.25}$$

4. Numerical example

In this section, we perform numerical tests on the proposed elements. As a first step toward understanding the size effect of the heterogeneous materials, we only test the proposed elements for a benchmark problem. Tests for realistic problems will appear in the forthcoming work.

Let $\Omega=(0,1)^2$ and

$$u_1=(\exp(\cos 2\pi x)-e)(\exp(\cos 2\pi y)-e),u_2=(\cos 2\pi x-1)(\cos 4\pi y-1).$$

The force f is obtained by (2.1), and $\lambda=\mu=1$ is used in the computation.

TABLE 1. The relative error of element (3.5).

ι/h	2^{-3}	2^{-4}	2^{-5}	2^{-6}	2^{-7}
2^{0}	3.7703e-1	2.4000e-1	1.4264e-1	8.2679e-2	5.3843e-2
2^{-2}	3.6018e-1	2.2771e-1	1.3475e-1	7.7712e-2	5.0201e-2
2^{-4}	2.3753e-1	1.4250e-1	8.1594e-2	4.5084e-2	2.6901e-2
2^{-6}	9.9562e-2	4.7910e-2	2.4911e-2	1.2847e-2	6.6659e-3
2^{-8}	6.7404e-2	2.2066e-2	8.1061e-3	3.4908e-3	1.6639e-3
2^{-10}	6.4241e-2	1.8724e-2	5.2392e-3	1.5306e-3	5.2725e-4
linear elasticity	6.4015e-2	1.8455e-2	4.9534e-3	1.2677e-3	3.1882e-4

TABLE 2. The relative error of element (3.14).

ι/h	2^{-3}	2^{-4}	2^{-5}	2^{-6}	2^{-7}
2^{0}	4.0699e-1	2.7545e-1	1.7183e-1	9.9912e-2	6.1423e-2
2^{-2}	3.8975e-1	2.6186e-1	1.6259e-1	9.4114e-2	5.7451e-2
2^{-4}	2.5972e-1	1.6568e-1	9.9630e-2	5.5631e-2	3.1820e-2
2^{-6}	1.0965e-1	5.5957e-2	3.0720e-2	1.6229e-2	8.4024e-3
2^{-8}	7.4643e-2	2.5299e-2	9.7207e-3	4.3590e-3	2.1165e-3
2^{-10}	7.1103e-2	2.1307e-2	6.0864e-3	1.8119e-3	6.4403e-4
linear elasticity	7.0843e-2	2.0978e-2	5.7278e-3	1.4743e-3	3.7116e-04

The triangulations $\mathcal{T}_h$ are constructed by dividing Ω into n^2 square of size $h \times h$, where $n = 1/h$, and then dividing each square into two triangles using the negative sloped diagonals. In Table 1, we have computed the relative error of energy norm, $\|\!|u - u_h|\!|_{\iota,h}/|\!|\!|u|\!|\!|_{\iota,h}$, for different values of ι and h, with element (3.5). In particular, we observe that the convergence rate appears to be linear when ι is large, while it turns to be quadratic when ι is close to zero. In Table 2, we show the relative error of energy norm for the element (3.14) with the same meshes. It is clear that the second element (3.14) is less accurate than the first element (3.5), this may due to the numerical oscillations incurred by the higher order basis functions.

5. Extension and conclusion

We prove a Korn-like inequality and its discrete analog for the strain gradient elastic problem, which is crucial for the well-posedness of the underlying variational problems as the Korn's inequality for the linearized elasticity. The discrete Korn's inequality actually provides a natural principle for designing suitable finite elements. Guided by this principle, we propose an element for three-dimensional problem as follows.

Let T be a regular tetrahedron with four vertexes A_i with coordinates $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^3, i = 1, \cdots, 4$, and six edges $e_{ij} = \overrightarrow{A_iA_j}, 1 \le i < j \le 4$. For each edge e_{ij}, the

related unit tangential vector is denoted by t_{ij}. The basal plane against the vertex A_i is denoted by $\triangle_i$. The local finite element space is given by

$$W(T) := [P_2(T)]^3 \oplus bP_2^*(T),$$

where $b = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4$ is quartic bubble function, and

$$P_2^*(T) = \{\, w \in [P_2(T)]^3 \mid w \cdot t_{ij} \in P_1(e_{ij}), 1 \le i < j \le 4 \,\}.$$

The degrees of freedom is given by

(1) The values of w at the corners and edge midpoints;
(2) $\int_{\triangle_l} (\partial_n w \cdot n)\lambda_i \,\mathrm{d}S$, $i \neq l$, and $l = 1, \cdots, 4$;
(3) $\int_{\triangle_l} (\partial_n w \cdot t_{ij}) \,\mathrm{d}S$, and $\int_{\triangle_l} (\partial_n w \cdot t_{ik}) \,\mathrm{d}S$, and $\int_{\triangle_l} (\partial_n w \cdot \eta_l) \,\mathrm{d}S$, and $i < j < k$, $i, j, k \neq l$, $l = 1, \cdots, 4$,

where

$$\eta_l = (t_{ij}t_{ik}^{\mathrm{T}} - t_{ik}t_{ij}^{\mathrm{T}})A(\lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_k)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

with $A = [a_i, a_j, a_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$. It is quite attractive that the dimension of $W(T)$ is 54, in contrast to 192 degrees of freedom for the element in [26] that seems the only available element for three-dimensional strain gradient model. The properties of this element will be carried out elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

The working of Ming was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China for Distinguished Young Scholars 11425106, and National Natural Science Foundation of China grants 91230203, and by the funds from Creative Research Groups of China through grant 11021101, and by the support of CAS NCMIS.

References

1. R.A. Adams and J.J.F. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, 2nd ed., 2003.
2. S. Akarapu and H.M. Zbib, *Numerical analysis of plane cracks in strain-gradient elastic materials*, Int. J. Fract. **141** (2006), 403–430.
3. S.B. Altan and E.C. Aifantis, *On the structure of the mode III crack-tip in gradient elasticity*, Scripta Metal. Mater. **26** (1992), 319–324.
4. E. Amanatidou and N. Aravas, *Mixed finite element formulations of strain-gradient elasticity problems*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. **191** (2006), 1723–1751.
5. J.H. Argyris, I. Fried, and D.W. Scharpf, *The Tuba family of plate elements for the matrix displacement method*, The Aeronautical Journal of the Royal Aeronautical Society **72** (1968), 701–709.
6. H. Askes and E.C. Aifantis, *Numerical modeling of size effects with gradient elasticity-formulation, meshless discretization and examples*, Int. J. Frac. **117** (2002), 347–358.
7. K. Bell, *A refined triangular plate bending element*, Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engreg. **1** (1969), 101–122.
8. A. Berger, R. Scott, and G. Strang, *Approximate boundary conditions in the finite element method*, Symposia Mathematica **X** (1972), 295–313.

9. S.C. Brenner, *Korn's inequalities for piecewise H^1 vector fields*, Math. Comp. **73** (2004), 1067–1087.
10. S.C. Brenner and M. Neilan, *A C^0 interior penalty method for a fourth order elliptic singular perturbation problem*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **49** (2011), 869–892.
11. P.G. Ciarlet, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
12. E. Cosserar and F. Cosserat, *Theorie des corps deformables*, Pair: Herman et fils (1909).
13. G.E. Exadaktylos and E.C. Aifantis, *Two and three dimensional crack problems in gradient elasticity*, J. Mech. Behav. Mater. **7** (1996), 93–118.
14. R.S. Falk, *Nonconforming finite element methods for the equation of linear elasticity*, Math. Comp. **57** (1991), 529–550.
15. J. Gunźman, D. Leykekhman, and M. Neilan, *A family of non-conforming elements and the analysis of nitsche's method for a singularly perturbed fourth order problem*, Calcolo **49** (2002), 95–125.
16. P. Hansbo and M.G. Larson, *Discontinuous Galerkin methods for incompressible and nearly incompressibly elasticity by Nitsche's method*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engreg. **191** (2002), 1895–1908.
17. A. Korn, *Solution générale du problème d'équilibre dans la théorie de l'élasticité dans le cas où les efforts sont donnés à la surface*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Ser. 2 **10** (1908), 165–269.
18. ———, *über einige ungleichungen, welche in der Theorie der elastischen und elektrischen Schwingungen eine Rolle spielen*, Bull. Int. Cracovie Akademie Umiejet, Class des Sci. Math. Nat. (1909), 705–724.
19. Q. Ma and D.R. Clarke, *Size dependent hardness of silver single crystals*, J. Mater. Res. **10** (1995), 853–863.
20. K.A. Mardal, X.C. Tai, and R. Winther, *A robust finite element method for Darcy-Stokes flow*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **40** (2002), 1605–1631.
21. K.A. Mardal and R. Winther, *An observation on Korn's inequality for nonconforming finite element methods*, Math. Comp. **75** (2006), 1–6.
22. R.D. Mindlin, *Microstructure in linear elasticity*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal **10** (1964), 51–78.
23. R.D. Mindlin and N.N. Eshel, *On the first strain-gradient theories of linear elasticity*, Int. J. Solid Struct. **4** (1968), 109–124.
24. P.B. Ming and Z.-C. Shi, *Nonconforming rotated Q_1 element for Reissner-Mindlin plate*, Math. Model Meth. Appl. Sci. **11** (2001), 1311–1342.
25. T.K. Nilssen, X.C. Tai, and R. Winther, *A robust nonconforming H^2-element*, Math. Comp. **70** (2001), 489–505.
26. S.-A. Papanastasiou, A. Zervos, and I. Vardoulakis, *A three-dimensional C^1 finite element for gradient elasticity*, Int. J. Numer. Eng. **135** (2009), 1396–1415.
27. C.Q. Ru and E.C. Aifantis, *A simple approach to solve boundary-value problems in gradient elasticity*, Acta Mech. **101** (1993), 59–68.
28. A.-K. Soh and W.J. Chen, *Finite element formulations of strain gradient theory for microstructures and the C^{0-1} patch test*, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engreg. **61** (2004), 433–454.
29. L. Wang, Y.K. Wu, and X.P. Xie, *Uniformly stable rectangular elements for fourth order elliptic singular perturbation problems*, Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equations **29** (2013), 721–737.
30. Y.G. Wei, *A new finite element method for strain gradient theories and applications to fracture analyses*, European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids **25** (2006), 897–913.
31. A. Zervos, P. Papanastasiou, and I. Vardoulakis, *Modelling of localisation and scale effect in thick-walled cylinders with gradient elastoplasticity*, Int. J. Soilds Struc. **38** (2001), 5081–5095.

32. A. Zervos, S.-A. Papanastasiou, and I. Vardoulakis, *Two finite element discretizations for gradient elasticity*, J. Eng. Mech. **135** (2009), 203–213.

Institute of Electronic Engineering, China Academy of Engineering Physics, Mianyang, 621900, China, email: lihongliang@mtrc.ac.cn.

LSEC, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing, AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 55, Zhong-Guan-Cun East Road, Beijing 100190, China, email: mpb@lsec.cc.ac.cn

LSEC, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing, AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 55, Zhong-Guan-Cun East Road, Beijing 100190, China, email: shi@lsec.cc.ac.cn